

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,520	0	1/18/2002	Harry Giewercer	*	7761
	7590	10/25/2004		EXAM	INER
Harry Giewercer				COHEN, AMY R	
29 Hyde Park	Drive				
	Richmond Hill, L4B 1V2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA				2859	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/050,520	GIEWERCER, HARRY					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Amy R Cohen	2859					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather that have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• •						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 59-83.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 10. Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER					
		Must Sall					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/050,520

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the finally rejected claims raises new issues concerning the "selectively interengageable sheet", "anti-rotation means", "disallowing rotation", "deformable sheet label member influencing movement of the loop", interengageable structural portions on the loop" which were not present in the finally rejected claims. The remarks concerning the proposed amendment are not persuasive since they are not directed towards the final rejection of Office Action dated July 16, 2004.